

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 04/06/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/628,521	07/28/2003	Wing-Kwong Keung	17853 USA	5164
27081 7:	590 04/06/2006		EXAMINER	
OWENS-ILLINOIS, INC. ONE SEAGATE, 25-LDP			HYLTON, ROB	IN ANNETTE
TOLEDO, OH 43666			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•		•	3727	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/628,521	KEUNG, WING-KWONG				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Robin A. Hylton	3727				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of the state of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	ely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 De	ecember 2005.					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	ice except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 1-41 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 6-8,14,15,21-23 and 5 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-5,9-13,16-20,24-28,40 and 41 is/are 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	rejected.	ideration.				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on 28 July 2003 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 11.	☐ accepted or b)☒ objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/628,521 Page 2

Art Unit: 3727

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Applicant's election without traverse of the product in the reply filed on December 1, 2005 is acknowledged.
- 2. Applicant's election of the species requirement in the reply filed on December 1, 2005 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).
- 3. Claims 31-39 and claims 6-8,14,15,21-23,29, and 30 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention and species, respectively, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on December 1, 2005.

Drawings

4. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the ribs of the base extending radially outwardly from the skirt on opposed sides of the passage must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim (40). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views

of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

5. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: at page 3, line 15, "base 30" should read -- base 28 --. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

6. Claim 40 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. There is no support in the disclosure as originally filed for "ribs that extend radially outwardly from said skirt on opposed sides of said passage". This is a new matter rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 1-3,10,11,16-18,25,26, 4,9,12,19,24,27, 5,13,20,28, 40 and 41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nozawa et al. (US 5,423,442) in view of Varlet et al. (US 5,979,681).

Nozawa teaches a hinged closure comprising a deck having a dispensing opening and a skirt and an integrally molded lid pivotally attached to the deck. Nozawa does not teach a child-resistant latching mechanism.

Varlet teaches it is known to provide a pivotal child-resistant latching mechanism on one of the lid and deck and associated axial passage on the other of the deck and lid. See figs. 2b and 3b.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the child-resistant feature of Varlet to the closure of Nozawa. Doing so helps prevent opening of the closure by a small child.

Regarding claims 4,9,12,19,24, and 27, the examiner takes Official notice that it is well established to provide a cam surface for allowing two surfaces to more smoothly and easily move in relation to one another. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply cam surfaces to the ledges to allow the tabs to move over the ledges more smoothly and easily in the closing direction of the lid.

Regarding claims 5,13,20,28, 40 and 41, the examiner takes Official notice that it is well established to provide strengthening ribs to a closure structure. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply ribs to the tab and the base to strengthen the structure and resist breakage of the tab.

Conclusion

- 9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Various prior art closures teaching features similar to those disclosed and/or claimed are cited for their disclosures.
- 10. In order to reduce pendency and avoid potential delays, Group 3720 is encouraging FAXing of responses to Office Actions directly into the Group at (571) 273-8300. This practice

Application/Control Number: 10/628,521

Art Unit: 3727

may be used for filing papers not requiring a fee. It may also be used for filing papers which require a fee by applicants who authorize charges to a PTO deposit account. Please identify the examiner and art unit at the top of your cover sheet. Papers submitted via FAX into Group 3720 will be promptly forwarded to the examiner.

11. It is called to applicant's attention that if a communication is faxed before the reply time has expired, applicant may submit the reply with a "Certificate of Facsimile" which merely asserts that the reply is being faxed on a given date. So faxed, before the period for reply has expired, the reply may be considered timely. A suggested format for a certificate follows:

I hereby certify that this correspondence for Application Serial No. The U.S. Patent and Trademark Office via fax number 571-273-8300 on the	
Typed or printed name of person signing this certificate	
Signature	
Date	

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robin Hylton whose telephone number is (571) 272-4540. The examiner can normally be reached Monday - Friday from 9:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. (Eastern time).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nathan Newhouse, can be reached on (571) 272-4544.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit: 3727

Other helpful telephone numbers are listed for applicant's benefit:

- Allowed Files & Publication (888) 786-0101
- Assignment Branch (800) 972-6382
- Certificates of Correction (703) 305-8309
- Fee Questions (571) 272-6400
- Inventor Assistance Center (800) PTO-9199
- Petitions/special Programs (571) 272-3282
- Information Help line 1-800-786-9199
- Internet PTO-Home Page http://www.uspto.gov

RAH April 3, 2006

> Robin A. Hylton Primary Examiner GAU 3727